

Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability

<u>Michael Eppler</u>, Matthias Hanauer, Christophe Gerling, Ulrich Berner, Thomas Kadyk, Michael Eikerling

25.10.2023 – User Presentations: Fuel Cells





2 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



From Macro to Micro





Physical MEA Model: 2D, Transient, Two-Phase



A/C = anode/cathode, GDB = gas diffusion backing, MPL = microporous layer, CL = catalyst layer, PEM = polymer electrolyte membrane.

We use a full macro-homogeneous physical 2D model, with physics and material laws largely based on state-of-the-art literature.



Overview of Partial Differential Equations

 $\frac{\partial(c_{\rm p}T)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-k\nabla T) = S_T$ Fourier heat conduction Heat conduction $\frac{\partial \left(C_{\rm dl} (\phi_{\rm e} - \phi_{\rm p}) \right)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (-\sigma_{\rm e} \nabla \phi_{\rm e}) = S_{\rm e}$ Ohm's law **Electron Transport** $\frac{\partial \left(C_{\rm dl} (\phi_{\rm e} - \phi_{\rm p}) \right)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(-\sigma_{\rm p} \nabla (\phi_{\rm p} + k_{\rm drag} \phi_{\lambda}) \right) = S_{\rm p}$ Ohm's law + Electro-osmotic drag **Proton Transport** $k_{\lambda} \frac{\partial(\phi_{\lambda})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(-\sigma_{\mathrm{p}} \xi \nabla \phi_{\mathrm{p}} - \left(F^{2} \alpha_{\mathrm{g,I,eff}} + \sigma_{\mathrm{p}} \xi^{2} \right) \nabla \phi_{\lambda} \right) = S_{\lambda}$ Electro-osmotic drag + concentration gradient Dissolved H₂O transport $\epsilon_p \frac{\partial(\rho_{\rm H_2O}s)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(-\frac{M_{\rm H_2O}D_s}{V_{\rm m H_1O}}\nabla p_1\right) = S_{\lambda}$ Darcy Law Liquid H₂O transport $-\frac{\partial \left((1-s)\epsilon_{\mathrm{p}}\chi_{\mathrm{H}_{2}0} \right)}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left(\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}0} \right) + \nabla \cdot \left(\chi_{\mathrm{H}_{2}0} c \boldsymbol{u} \right) = S_{\mathrm{H}_{2}0}$ Maxwell-Stefan + Knudsen diffusion H₂O vapor flux $-\frac{\partial\left((1-s)\epsilon_{p\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}}\right)}{\partial t}+\nabla\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{J}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}\right)+\nabla\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}c\boldsymbol{u}\right)=S_{\mathrm{H}_{2}}$ Maxwell-Stefan + Knudsen diffusion H₂ flux $-\frac{\partial\left((1-s)\epsilon_p\chi_{\mathbf{0}_2}\right)}{\partial t} + \nabla\cdot\left(\mathbf{J}_{\mathbf{0}_2}\right) + \nabla\cdot\left(\boldsymbol{\chi}_{\mathbf{0}_2}c\mathbf{u}\right) = S_{\mathbf{0}_2}$ O_2 flux Maxwell-Stefan + Knudsen diffusion

Our aim is to predict **performance** for upscaling,

detect **flooding** and understand **transient behavior**.

5 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability © Robert Bosch GmbH 2023. All rights reserved, also regarding any disposal, exploitation, reproduction, editing, distribution, as well as in the event of applications for industrial property rights.



Parametrization Workflow From Literature to In Situ Measurements



6 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Parametrization Workflow Parametrization and Validation





Parametrization Workflow Parametrization and Validation





Parametrization Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

EIS **Limiting Current Global Fit** b) $= R_{ci} \cdot j \approx 0.048 \text{ V} \times 111 \text{ mV/des}$ 0.8 $-\mathrm{Im}(Z) / \Omega \mathrm{cm}^2$ 9.0 0.15 0.2 0.25 $Re(Z) / \Omega c$ 0.2 70 % 80 % 90 % 100 0.2 0.4 $\operatorname{Re}(Z) / \Omega \operatorname{cm}^2$ *TDS: Triple Dhase Validation Validation Validation



Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy HFR and Protonic Sheet Resistance under OCV for Parametrization



Gerling et al., JES 168, 84504 (2021); ibid. 169, 14503 (2022); ibid. 170, 14504 (2023).

10 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Parametrization **Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy**

EIS





Parametrization Limiting Current

EIS



12 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Limiting Current Only Selected Conditions Used for Parametrization

Pressure-dependent MTR

Pressure-independent MTR



MTR = Mass transport resistance

13 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability © Robert Bosch GmbH 2023. All rights reserved, also regarding any disposal, exploitation, reproduction, editing, distribution, as well as in the event of applications for industrial property rights.



Limiting Current Wet and Dry Limiting Current to Parametrize Diffusion Properties

Fit **GDB** diffusion properties



Pressure-dependent MTR

WRC GDB 0.200 0.1730.150 0.1250.100 0.075 0.050 0.025 $10_{\times 10^4}$ -10 0 $p_{\rm cap}\,/\,{\rm Pa}$ Fit to wet MTR

Fit **CL** diffusion properties

Pressure-independent MTR



MTR = Mass transport resistance, GDB = Gas diffusion barrier, WRC = Water retention curve, CL = Catalyst Layer

WRC CL

 $^{10} \times 10^{4}$

BOSCH

-5

 $p_{\rm cap}$ / Pa

Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D. Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability 14

Limiting Current

Pressure-dependent MTR

Pressure-independent MTR



15 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Parametrization Limiting Current

EIS



16 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Validation/Parametrization **Polarization Curves**

EIS



17 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Polarization Curves Full Factorial DOE under Hydrogen/Air



1 8 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Polarization Curves Full Factorial DOE under Hydrogen/Air



19 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Polarization Curves

Focus on Extreme Conditions: Standard, Cold/Wet & Hot/Dry



20 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Polarization Curves Standard, Hot & Dry, Cold & Wet with Excellent Agreement



Cold & Wet



21 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Polarization Curves Focus on Extreme Conditions



22 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Polarization Curves Focus on Transition Conditions



23 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Polarization Curves Model Overestimates Flooding under Transition Conditions



Cold & Wet







BOSCH

24 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability

Polarization Curves Focus on Transition Conditions



25 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Polarization Curves Full Factorial DOE under Hydrogen/Air



30 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Validation/Parametrization **Polarization Curves**

EIS



31 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability



Validation Limiting Current

EIS



Limiting Current

32 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability

© Robert Bosch GmbH 2023. All rights reserved, also regarding any disposal, exploitation, reproduction, editing, distribution, as well as in the event of applications for industrial property rights.



Global Fit

Limiting Current Full Factorial DOE for Validation

Pressure-dependent MTR



Pressure-independent MTR



33 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability

Limiting Current Good Model Agreement, Problems at Transition Regions

Pressure-dependent MTR

Pressure-independent MTR

 (\mathbb{H})

BOSCH



34 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability

Limiting Current Full Factorial DOE for Validation

Pressure-dependent MTR



Pressure-independent MTR



 (\mathbb{H})

BOSCH

35 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability

Validation Limiting Current

EIS



Limiting Current

36 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability

© Robert Bosch GmbH 2023. All rights reserved, also regarding any disposal, exploitation, reproduction, editing, distribution, as well as in the event of applications for industrial property rights.



Global Fit

Validation Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

EIS



Limiting Current

37 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability

© Robert Bosch GmbH 2023. All rights reserved, also regarding any disposal, exploitation, reproduction, editing, distribution, as well as in the event of applications for industrial property rights.



Global Fit

Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy Validation using HFR and Complete Impedance Spectra



38

EIS validation with simplified 1D model



EIS validation with Full 2D model



Gerling et al., JES 170, 14504 (2023)



Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy Validation using HFR and Complete Impedance Spectra



Charge Transfer too large at low current density due to crossover + short circuit.



Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

Closer Match at Higher Current Density, but Still Open Questions





Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy Validation using HFR and Complete Impedance Spectra





Validation Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

EIS



Limiting Current

42 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability

© Robert Bosch GmbH 2023. All rights reserved, also regarding any disposal, exploitation, reproduction, editing, distribution, as well as in the event of applications for industrial property rights.



Global Fit

Key takeaways

Performance

- Can be predicted for broad field of RH, T and j.
- Prediction quality already sufficient for industrial use + upscaling.

Flooding

- Can be parametrized using few limiting current measurements.
- Requires deeper understanding under transitional areas (net water transfer¹, segmented cells²).

Transient Effects

- Quantitative models required for slow dynamical effects (e.g. Pt oxides³)
- Ongoing: Direct validation of dynamics using impedance spectra



1) Bligny et al., JPS 560, 232719 (2023); 2) Schmitt et al., JES 169, 124505 (2022); 3) Gerling et al., JES 170, 14504 (2023)

Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability







Further Questions? Get in Touch Via Mail / LinkedIn! Looking for a Job? Several Openings at Bosch Research in Stuttgart!



AST Expert Electrolysis Coating Expert Electrolysis



Please get in touch!



44 Michael Eppler | 2023-10-25 | Parametrization and Validation of a 2D, Transient, Two-Phase MEA Model with EIS Capability
© Robert Bosch GmbH 2023. All rights reserved, also regarding any disposal, exploitation, reproduction, editing, distribution, as well as in the event of applications for industrial property rights.



Backup



Overview of Mass transport resistance contributions Molecular diffusion, Knudsen diffusion and thin film resistance





Parametrization Five factors are fitted globally using 180 Polcurve points



- ---- $\mu_{*}(x)$ ---- μ * (x) ---- μ*(x) 0.5 0.5 0.5 95% confidence interval 95% confidence interval 95% confidence interval Observations 0 Observations Observations × Origin × Origin × Origin 0.4 0.4 0.4 | (x) € 0.3 f(x) 0.3 0 0 0 0.2 0.2 0.7 0.1 0.7 0.8 2.5 7.5 10.0 12.5 15.0 17.5 20.0 100 150 200 0.2 0.3 04 0.6 0.9 10 5.0 250 300 M i fac D lambda fac R th GDB
 - R_th_GDB: Thermal contact resistance between GDB and flowfield land: one of the key factors that determine when flooding occurs difficult to measure
 - CCL_Rtfilm_fac: We have fitted the proportion of pressure-independent MTR from the platinum-near film vs Knudsen diffusion in the CL
 - > Optimum for almost all parameters does not lie on the edge quality criteria

