

Simulation of Daisy Chain Flip-Chip interconnections

info@csem.ch

www.csem.ch

M. Fretz¹, G. Spinola Durante*¹

¹CSEM SA, Central Switzerland Center, Untere Gründlistrasse 1, CH-6055 Alpnach, Switzerland

Corresponding author: * gsd@csem.ch

Introduction

Flip-Chip technologies are selected among Au bump thermocompression with and without NCA underfiller, anisotropic conductive adhesive bonding, and AuSn20 eutectic solder. Within Comsol framework the daisy chain simulations and a method for comparing single-bump resistance are implemented.

Challenges:

- estimate more accurately the single-bump resistance
- model current crowding effect
- model high aspect-ratio 3D model

Solution:

- simplified FEM model solved using AC/DC Comsol Multiphysics feature
- normalize experimental data with numerical correction factors calculated through FEM results post-processing

Experimental results & Comsol Model

- **Daisy chain chip**
- **Experimental setup**

Setup: 4-probe resistance measurement
Equipment: Keithley 2750 DMM

Typical resistance values:

 - R_{W1} : Connection wires 1 Ω
 - R_{C1} : Probe-to-metal-line contact 1 Ω
 - R_{L1} : Bottom substrate metal line 100 m Ω
 - R_{T1} : Top die metal line 20 m Ω
 - R_B : Interconnect bump 10 m Ω
 - R_{M1} : Measurement unit 10 M Ω
$$R_B \sim R_{Measure} \cdot (1 + 4 \cdot 10^{-7})$$
- **Experimental bump resistances**

Electrical Resistance

 - Thermocompression
 - TC with non-conductive adhesive
 - Eutectic AuSn20 bonding
 - ACA bonding
- **Current crowding effect**
 - Site 3 appears to have ~2 factor less resistance than other sites
 - This effect depends on the daisy chain layout geometrical features
 - Effect is known as current crowding effect
 - This effect is enhanced with high aspect ratio geometries.
- **FEM calibrated model**

Calibration of Au line conductivity

Comsol results

- **Resistance extraction method**

Site 1 simulation **Site 4 simulation**

■ Forcing current = 1A
 ■ Voltage measurement $\div R_B$

• For thermocompression bonding (TC) site 1, 2 and 4 give similar simulation results

• Site 1 (TC) simulation gives accurate resistance value of 7.7m Ω against an experimental average value of 7.6m Ω
- **High aspect-ratio simplified FEM model**

Extrapolation of Site 3 resistance to 1um thick routing metals

 - Site 3 (FEM) thickness variation
 - Site 3 measured
 - Site 3 measured + stdev
 - Site 3 measured - stdev
 - Parabolic trendline
- **Normalized experimental results**

Normalized Electrical Resistance

$$Site(1)_{norm} = \left(\frac{Site(1)}{Site(X)} \right)_{FEM} * Site(X)_{exp.}$$
 - Thermocompression
 - TC with non-conductive adhesive
 - Eutectic AuSn20 bonding
 - ACA bonding

Conclusions

- Simulation of simplified high aspect-ratio 3D model with Comsol Multiphysics matches experimental results for thermocompression
- The prediction of FEM helps to quantify the measured current crowding effect and to normalize the single-bump resistance measured values.
- The impact is to improve the design of interconnects and to allow more accurate bump comparison for future reliability assessment.