

Electromagnetic characterization of big aperture magnet used in particle beam cancer therapy

Jhonnatan Osorio Moreno M.Pullia, C.Priano

fondazione CNAO

Presented at Comsol conference 2012 Milan

Milan- 10th October 2012

Excerpt from the Proceedings of the 2012 COMSOL Conference in Milan

General overview

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Validation of COMSOL simulations
- 3. Big aperture magnet characterization
- 4. conclusions

8

Particle beam cancer therapy is:

The external proton, ion or neutron beam irradiation to tumor cancer cells

honnatan.moreno@cnao.it

The tumor cell damage depends on the number of single and double strand breaks in DNA structure.



Single strand break

double strand break

If the tumor tissue is irradiated with ions like Range (12C) 1 µm 10 µm 10 cm 1 mm 1000 $R(E) = \int_0^E \left(\frac{dE'}{dx}\right)^{-1} dE'$ ¹²C electronic stopping ¹²C nuclear stopping p electronic stopping dE/dx [keV/(µm)] 800 ¹²C ions 600

 $\approx 350 \text{ keV/u}$ 200 protons 10⁻² 10⁻¹ 10⁰ 10-4 10⁻³ 10¹ 10² 10³ Specific energy [MeV/u]

jhonnatan.moreno@cnao.it

400

Microscopic visualization of the extremely localized DNA damage induced in nuclei of mammalian cells following irradiation with accelerated ions

The lethal damage in the tumor cells is highly localized and effective

10 µm

jhonnatan.moreno@cnao.it

The particles irradiation fields have a favorable depth dose profile



Highly homogeneous magnetic fields are used to control and direct the ion beam towards the patient



jhonnatan.moreno@cnao.it

Lorentz Force

$$\vec{F} = q\vec{v} \times \vec{B}$$



$$B\rho(Tm) = \frac{\sqrt{2E_0E_{kin} + E_{kin}^2}}{ec}$$

Carbon ion treatments require 430 MeV/u (~27 cm in depth) to be clinically useful





For ¹²C $B\rho = 6.64$ Tm, then, the bending radius is **3.67 m**

Unfortunately

The iron-dominated magnets only reach about B=1.8T of maximum magnetic field (B) without loosing the optimal conditions for ion beam transport.

jhonnatan.moreno@cnao.it



7 HICATIGantro

The idea is to guarantee a magnet able to transport towards the patient a ¹²C ion beam with kinetic energies up to 430 MeV/u, with the following characteristics:

1.8 T Field intensity Field variations less than **2x10**⁻⁴

Validation of COMSOL simulations

terms Bending dipole ($\pi/8$)



Validation of the simulations

Static Simulations



jhonnatan.moreno@cnao.it

Validation of the simulations

Time dependent calculations

The energy beam variations during the treatment require variations in the magnetic field strength of every magnetic element in the gantry line.





To validate the COMSOL calculations a comparison between dynamic measurements and simulations has been done



Time dependent calculations

The results show a good agreement between the calculated and the measured data



Big aperture magnet characterization

jhonnatan.moreno@cnao.it





Schematic view of the treatment room

Static Simulations





2D Geometry simplification used in COMSOL

1.4



Magnetic flux density simulated. No tension bars and no stiffening frame

1200

1100

1000

900

800 700

1300 1200

1100

-100

-200

-300

Observation lin



Contribution of the tension bars to the magnetic field in the GFR

0.5

4600

	B in the center of the magnet [T]	Delta (%)
Only iron yoke	1.8753	0
Yoke+tension bars	1.888	0.67
Yoke+ tension bars + stiffening frame	1.890	0.78

Static Simulations

Values of the magnetic field strength in the middle of the GFR



Magnetic field profiles in the center of the magnet

Static Simulations



Static Simulations



Xgfr(mm)

Magnetic field homogeneity contribution of the tension bars and of the tension bars + the stiffening frame, respectively

jhonnatan.moreno@cnao.it

3D preliminary simulations

Static Simulations



Dynamic Simulations



Dynamic Simulations



Dynamic Simulations



Difference between static field (Bs) and dynamic field (Bd) at the end of the feeding current ramp, t = 4.5 s

$$(B_s - B_d) \sim e^{-(t/\tau)}$$

The eddy currents generated in the tension bars give a time constat (τ) of **1.13 s**

Conclusions

• Static simulations of the CNAO 90° large gap dipole have shown that the 2D field homogeneity is acceptable and that the influence of the stiffening frame structure and of the tension bars cannot be neglected

• The variation in the absolute value of the field in the gap is not significant for the requirement on the excitation current and the effect on the field homogeneity is unimportant



Thank you for your attention

Additional slides

Hadron

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

In <u>particle physics</u>, a **hadron** is a <u>composite particle</u> made of <u>quarks held together</u> by the <u>strong force</u>.

Hadrons are categorized into two families: <u>baryons</u> (made of three quarks) and <u>mesons</u> (made of one quark and one <u>antiquark</u>).

Summary of big aperture magnet simulated characteristics

	GFR (20 x 20 cm ²)
Magnetic field [T]	1.87
$\Delta B/B_0$ at GFR	[-0.8x10 ⁻⁴ , 1.03x10 ⁻⁴]
Stored Energy [J]	1213924.48
Inductance [H]	0.47
Dissipated DC power [kW]	613.65
DC voltage [V]	269.16
Inducted Voltage [V]	236.8
Excitation current[A]	2800
Ampere-turns	182400
Magnet Weight [tons]	82

Comparison table between the reduced 90° bending magnet (GRF 15 x 15 cm²) and the reference 90° CNAO bending magnet (GFR 20 x 20 cm²) To simulate the eddy currents in the tie dependent calculations , the tension bars Have to be simulated as a single turn coil domain.



Comsol Model